SINGLE BENCH

E-8/1004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. (S) No. 6676 **/ 2006**

PETITIONER : Ramchhabile Yadav, S/o. Shri Bhagwat Yadav, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School-Vrindanagar, Camp-I, Bhilai, Distt. - Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6795/06
Presented by Shri V. Tiwari
dated 27-11-06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary, Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Public Instruction Department, Chhattisgarh Govt. Raipur
3. District Education Officer Durg, Distt. - Durg (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Durg Distt. - Durg (C.G.),

RECEIVED COPY
27/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

ARNA MALVIYA
CG-P073/96
26/11/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(27)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 6676 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06.12.2006 Shri Vipin Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Pandey, leraned P.L. for the State/respondents. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is Assistant Teacher with the qualification of graduation in Science subject. As per Circular dated 20.1.1987 of Annexure P/4 the State Government has decided that the vacancies of 5000 teachers are being filled up by the teachers of English and Science subjects. These vacancies are being filled up through direct recruitment. However, considering the demand of the teachers who are already working under the State that a separate quota should be fixed for them, the State Government has decided that division-wise list of the science teachers be prepared and on the basis of eligibility they shall be considered for promotion. However, the case of the petitioner for promotion has not been considered. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had preferred representation vide Annexure P/6 for his promotion but the same has not been considered. He further submits that in identical matters this Court vide order dated 9th October 2006 passed	

(28)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 6676 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

in W.P.No. 499/05, has disposed of the petition with a direction that respondent No. 1 i.e. State of Chhattisgarh shall decide the representation preferred by the petitioner and dispose of the same at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of communication of that order in accordance with law, keeping in mind the circulars issued by the State Govt. as also the directions given by the Tribunal in similar matters.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit and admit that this case being similar in nature is squarely covered by the above decision.

In view of the above submission, this petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.